



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

[Handwritten signature]

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/741,048	12/21/2000	Yoshihiro Yamaguchi	0879-0295P	5515

2292 7590 06/15/2004

BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER

HERNANDEZ, NELSON D

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2612

DATE MAILED: 06/15/2004

[Handwritten mark]

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

09/741,048

Applicant(s)

YAMAGUCHI, YOSHIHIRO

Examiner

Nelson D. Hernandez

Art Unit

2612

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on ____.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) ____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-9 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) ____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) ____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 21 December 2000 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. ____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. ____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: ____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-4, 8 and 9 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nishikawa, Patent 5,296,945.

Re claim 1, Nishikawa discloses an identification photo system (Fig. 2) that obtains image data for an identification photo of a person (Fig. 2: 22) from image data of the person, said identification photo system comprising an automatic correcting device (Fig. 2) that automatically corrects the image data of the person (Col. 3, line 66 – col. 4, line 13; col. 6, lines 40-56; col. 9, lines 42-65).

Re claim 2, Nishikawa discloses that the automatic correcting device corrects at least one of density, color balance, luminance and saturation of an image of the person (Col. 5, lines 56-65; col. 6, lines 47-66).

Re claim 3, Nishikawa discloses that the automatic correcting device comprises: a skin pigmentation area abstracting device (detection point setting unit in fig. 2: 52) that abstracts a skin pigmentation area from the image; a skin

Art Unit: 2612

pigmentation correction value calculating device (comparator in fig. 2: 58) that calculates skin pigmentation correction values according to colors of the skin pigmentation area abstracted by said skin pigmentation area abstracting device and a predetermined skin pigmentation correction target value (stored in standard color memory in fig. 2: 56); and a color correcting device (look-up table in fig. 2: 60) that corrects the colors of the skin pigmentation area according to the skin pigmentation correction values calculated by said skin pigmentation correction value calculating device (the look-up table is used to correct the colors based on the result of the comparator) (Col. 3, line 66 – col. 4, line 13; col. 5, line 66 – col. 6, line 7).

Re claim 4, Nishikawa substantially discloses the same in claim 3. Therefore, grounds for rejecting claim 3 apply here. Furthermore, Nishikawa teaches performing a complexion conversion the colors of the image stored in memory (Fig. 2: 30) according to a preferable complexion (Col. 5, line 66 – col. 6, line 7).

Re claim 8, Nishikawa discloses the identification photo system comprising a printer (Fig. 2: 34) that prints the identification photo from the image data for the identification photo (Col. 3, lines 43-51).

Re claim 9, Nishikawa substantially discloses an apparatus performing the same as in claim 1. Therefore, grounds for rejecting claim 1 apply here.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2612

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 5 and 6 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishikawa, Patent 5,296,945 in view of Belucci, Patent 5,913,542 B1.

Re claim 5, Nishikawa does not explicitly teach that the automatic correcting device comprises: an area separating device that separates the image into a person area and a background area; and a background changing device that changes colors of the background area to a predetermined color.

However, Belucci teaches a system for producing ID cards wherein the system separates the image area from the background area so as to compress the image data for the identification card (Col. 4, lines 8-19), as part of the compression algorithm, the background is changed to a predetermined color (normalized or eliminated), also teaches that a software automatically resize the images so as to fit the required area for the photo (Col. 5, lines 15-49).

Therefore, taking the combined teaching of Nishikawa in view of Belucci as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art to modify the identification photo system in Nishikawa by having means to separate a person area and a background area and a background color-changing means to change the color of the background to a predetermined color. The motivation to do so would help the identification photo system to compress the image of the person only since the background is not that relevant and can be changed as suggested by Belucci (Col. 4, lines 8-19).

Art Unit: 2612

Re claim 6, Nishikawa does not explicitly teach that the automatic correcting device further comprises: a comparing device that compares the size of the person area of the person image with a predetermined size; an image size changing device that changes the size of the image so that the size of the person area is the predetermined size; and an abstracting device that abstracts a print area required for the identification photo from the image according to the size of the image.

However, Belucci teaches a comparing device that compares the size of the person area of the person image with a predetermined size; an image size changing device that changes the size of the image so that the size of the person area is the predetermined size; and an abstracting device that abstracts a print area required for the identification photo from the image according to the size of the image by teaching the software automatically resize the images to a predetermined size so as to fit the area required area for the photo (Col. 5, lines 15-49).

Therefore, taking the combined teaching of Nishikawa in view of Belucci as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art to modify the identification photo system by including means for changing the size of a photo to a predetermined size. The motivation to do so would help the identification photo system to adjust the size of the image of the person so as to fit the area required for the photo as suggested by Belucci.

6. Claim 7 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nishikawa, Patent 5,296,945 in view of O'Brill, Patent 5,937,081.

Art Unit: 2612

Re claim 7, Nishikawa does not explicitly teach a cloth area abstracting device that abstracts a cloth area from the image; and a cloth-changing device that changes image data of the cloth area to image data of predetermined cloth.

However, O'Brill teaches an image composition system wherein a camera takes an image of a person (Fig. 1: 12) and the composition system separates the image of the person's head from the body and the background (See flow chart in fig. 6) so as to change the person's clothes (i.e. shirt and pants) according to the body type of said person (Col. 5, line 49 – col. 6, line 47).

Therefore, taking the combined teaching of Nishikawa in view of O'Brill as a whole, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skilled in the art to incorporate in Nishikawa with a cloth changing system to abstract the part of the body related to the cloth's area or the body form the person so as to change the clothes of the person to predetermined clothes, with the motivation of avoiding having to require a person to have a specific type of clothes to be photograph with the system, facilitating the system to combine the subject with different accessory items as suggested by O,Brill (Col. 1, lines 56-61).

Contact

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nelson D. Hernandez whose telephone number is (703) 305-8717. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wendy R. Garber can be reached on (703) 305-4929.

Art Unit: 2612

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nelson D. Hernandez
Examiner
Art Unit 2612

NDHH
May 13, 2004



NGOC-YEN VU
PRIMARY EXAMINER